



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/054,893	01/25/2002	Theodore A. Witzel	39452	2244
24629	7590 03/13/2003			
DARYL W SCHNURR			EXAMINER	
BARRISTER & SOLICITOR PO BOX 2607			ALEXANDER, REGINALD	
18 WEBER STREEST WEST KITCHENER, ONTARIO, N2H 6N2			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA			1761	
			DATE MAILED: 03/13/2003	,
				_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•					
. Office Action Summary	10/054,893	WITZEL, THEODORE A.			
omee Action Cammary	Examiner Reginald L. Alexander	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Reginald L. Alexander	1761 he correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) (- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply wit - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply blication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS II, by statute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on				
2a) This action is FINAL.	o)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	anlia atian				
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the ap	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9 and 11</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction Application Papers	on and/or election requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) $□$ accepted or b) $□$ objected to by the E	Examiner.			
	tion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are requi					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received in Applic	cation No			
	the priority documents have been reco ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). for a list of the certified copies not reco	_			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(e) (to a provisional application).			
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for 					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper 	0-948) 5) Notice of Inform	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 3			



Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 9, there is no clear antecedent basis for the recited "outer portions". In claim 9, at the last line there is recited "second openings". Since there is no recitation of first openings, it is unclear why applicant has recited second openings. Additionally, in claim 9, it is unclear what is defined by the recited "another portion" at line 3. Is this supposed to be where the "outer portion" is defined?

In claim 11, there is no antecedent basis for the recited "ridges" or "ridge".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Holbrook et al.

There is disclosed in Holbrook a flavor plate 26 for use in a barbecue appliance

10 wherein the plate has three inverted V-shaped sections with three peaks 70 and two

Application/Control Number: 10/054,893

Art Unit: 1761

valleys 76, the plate having an outer portion (ridge) 74 along each side, each of the

outer portions sloping downward and outward and have between them an opening 82,

each of the outer portions having a plurality of openings 80.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-8 and 10 are allowed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. The patents to Pietro and Schroeter et al. are cited for their

disclosure of a reflective plate and barbecue combination.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 703-

308-1594. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7718 for

regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0661.

rla

March 7, 2003

Reginald L. Alexander

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1761 Ragnald L. Alexander Page 3